### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CREEDON CONTROLS, INC., a Delaware corporation,	)
Plaintiff,	) C.A. NO. 05-CV-300-UNA
v.	
BANC ONE BUILDING CORPORATION, an Illinois corporation, and FOREST ELECTRIC CORPORATION, a New York corporation,	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED ) )
Defendants.	ý
	) )

# DEFENDANT FOREST ELECTRIC CORP.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

# COUNT I (Statement of Claim for Mechanics' Lien)

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted
- 3. Admitted except the proper corporate name is Forest Electric Corp.
- 4. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 5. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 6. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.

- 7. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 8. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 9. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 10. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 11. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 12. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 13. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 14. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.

# COUNT II (Breach of Contract – Banc One)

- 15. Answering Defendant repeats and re-alleges the answers to the averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 17. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.

2

- 18. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 19. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.
- 20. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent an answer is required this averment is denied.

# COUNT III (Breach of Contract – Forest)

- 21. Answering Defendant repeats and re-alleges the answers to the averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 20 as though fully set forth herein.
  - 22. Denied.
  - 23. Denied.
  - 24. Denied.
  - 25. Denied, except admitted that Creedon Controls, Inc. ("CCI") performed work.
  - 26. Denied.
  - 27. Denied.
  - 28. Denied.
  - 29. Denied.

#### **COUNT IV**

# (Recovery of Labor, Material, Equipment, and General Condition Costs for Delay – Banc One and Forest)

- 30. Answering Defendant repeats and re-alleges the answers to the averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 29 as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny this averment.

32.	Denied.
-----	---------

- 33. Denied.
- 34. Denied.
- 35. Denied.
- 36. Denied.
- 37. Denied.
- 38. Denied.
- 39. Denied.
- 40. Denied except admitted plaintiff made a demand but denied any amount is owing.
- 41. Denied.

# COUNT V (Unjust Enrichment)

- 42. Answering Defendant repeats and re-alleges the answers to the averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 41 as though fully set forth herein.
  - 43. Denied.
  - 44. Denied.
  - 45. Denied.
  - 46. Denied.

# <u>COUNT VI</u> (6 <u>Del. C.</u> Chapter 35 – CCI)

- 47. Answering Defendant repeats and re-alleges the answers to the averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 46 as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Admitted to the extent that some funds have been received but not the funds demanded by CCI.
  - 49. Denied.
  - 50. Denied.
- 51. This averment seeks a legal conclusion to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, denied.
  - 52. Denied.

# (6 <u>Del.</u> <u>C.</u> Chapter 36 – Banc One)

- 53. Answering Defendant repeats and re-alleges the answers to the averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 52 as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. These allegations are not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent a response is required this averment is denied.
- 55. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent a response is required this averment is denied.
- 56. This allegation is not directed to answering defendant, and therefore, no response is required. To the extent a response is required this averment is denied.

### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has waived any and all claims herein by failing to fulfill conditions precedent to any entitlement to payment.

#### THIRD AFFIRATIVE DEFENSE

All work performed by Plaintiff was within the scope of the contract terms and did not qualify as additional work.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's bid was defective and any delay or added charges are not the responsibility of answering Defendant.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Claims set forth by Plaintiff are barred by promissory estoppel.

# SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs did not confer any recoverable benefit upon Defendant Forest Electric by Plaintiffs.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to prepare and submit Charge Orders as required by the contract.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff waived entitlement to any of the claims it is pursuing because it failed to comply with applicable contract terms, including but not limited to submitting Charge Orders and Field Requests.

### **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Any additional work claimed by CCI was not approved by Forest Electric required under the contract.

### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Count for unjust enrichment fails to state a claim because no benefit was conferred on Forest Electric and plaintiff claims entitlement based on contract.

# ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants are not required to comply with 10 Del. C. §3901 because this rule of pleading and practice applies in State court only.

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Forest Electric acted as Electrical Trade Manager and Construction Manager of the electric trade as agent of Banc One Building Corporation only and has no liability to plaintiff.

# THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Single Project Construction Services Agreement Contract No. 6B was between CCI and Banc One only.

# FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

CCI was paid for work performed.

### FIFTHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

CCI executed waivers and releases of liens for the work performed.

WHEREFORE, answering defendant Forest Electric Corp. hereby demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff and any other further relief as this Court deems fair and just.

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Bv:

Paul A. Bradley (DE Bar ID #2156)
Jennifer M. Zelvin (DE Bar ID #4325)
P.O. Box 111
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 984-6300

Attorneys for Forest Electric Corp.

Dated: May 24, 2005

WL1: 106349.01